

REMARKS

In a second restriction requirement, the examiner has indicated that Claim 1 is generic, and each individual claim 2-46 is a distinct species because each individual claim recites separately patentable additional structure .

Election of Claims:

Applicant elects to prosecute Claims 1-3, 10, 12-21, 28, 30, 36-37 and 46 This election is made with traverse. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the non-elected species in another application to be filed at a later date.

Cancellation of Claims

Applicant cancels claims 9, 11, 22-27, 29, 31-35 and 38-45 with traverse. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the non-elected claims in another application to be filed at a later date.

CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for examination.

Respectfully submitted,
HELLER EHRMAN LLP

Date: 6/5/2006

By: 
Paul Davis, Reg. No. 29,294

275 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 324-7041
Customer No. 25213